**Wednesday, April 26, 2023 - Pablo**

* Don't forget Acceptance Testing (later in the document)
* Tailwind CSS can be for easy frontend (though we have
* Important grading aspect: research (20%), justifying why you made certain choices.
  + Constantly evolving field,
  + Reading literature and adopting certain methodology. Look at how to do technical documentation.
* Consider complexity and feasibility when Developing
* 9:30 monday's meeting (regular)
* Next Week: 9:00 Tuesday
* Expected to use GitLab Scrum Board (or researched alternative)
  + Code reviews
  + Methodology Discussion
* Answer the *why* of certain choices via research.

**Monday, May 2, 2023 - Pablo**

Document looks good and complete

Introduction:

- Introduce company

- Small paragraph on what AWS is

Each section (excluding reqs) should have a conclusion paragraph or so.

General conclusion to document is not necessary

We should have a MVP by week 5

MidTerm Grade:

Software Quality / Grading:

Testing and quality of Code Reviews (Unit testing, acceptance testing, acceptance criteria, surveying target audience)

Diversity. What Tests does our document have?

- Will send notes on best practices for Testing

Design Quality:

Already excellent because of what is on our document :)

Good Architectural Complexity

Aligns with Requirements:

Build what you said you would build and show that you tested it.

- Traceability Matrix (For each requirement give it an ID and cross reference with User Stories).

(More on this later no need to worry)

Quality of Documentation:

All interface with documentation should be documented

Error Codes should be documented

What kind of data format / structure should be documented.

Have a README in repo (commands needed to run build process, if Bash script should be document)

Make API endpoints documented (parameters, status codes, what happens if you deviate from normal)

2. Process:

Research, work distribution, how did we do code reviews, etc

Planning:

Initiative and Communication:

Be Enthusiastic with coach/client/TA: Show motivation - don't sit there in silence.

Coach Meeting: 'Show off' your ideas and be enthusiastic.

Group Interaction:

Keep it up :)

Work Distribution:

Even work distribution (duh). We will get feedback from @cdowns as we go.

-----

##Final Assesment###

1. Exploration ():

Reaserch argumentation, everything that we have done in the last 2 weeks.

We have good problem analysis, requirements engineering, and research / design methedology

2.Product (35%):

Same as midterm

3. Report (15%):

Did we follow good practices from technical writting (Brightspace).

Good English, good structure, introduction, conclusion, graduate level, sources

4.Process:

Same as Midterm

5. Presentation:

!Dont! repeat what is already on the document

!DO! Go into your process, (lessons learned, situations dealt with, how did you navigate the process w/ professionalism)

Speak with confidence. Dont stut-stut-stut-stutter Point out cool things you did.

Pre-recorded / pre-edit a good demo. Make it as impressive as possible

In Q&A show that you understand everything.

02/05/2023 - Lara

* Rather than stakeholders, maybe add a new section for users? - up to us
* How do we assess the quality of our translation? Maybe use domain experts as the assessors of quality. Make a statement on this.
* Make sure you don’t spend too much time on tuning the parameters of the model
* Maybe add non-technical feasibilities - either delete or add a non-technical side for the ethical requirements (theoretical aspect), is training the data feasible model training -> any anticipations on how long this would take, if things take too long potentially something we have to deal with
* How do we retrieve the blog posts? Using API to scrape stuff? How do we fetch the data?
* Source of data should be mentioned
* Remove the authentication services from the threats
* Include the fact that we may build a model on top of theirs if there isn’t enough data
* Tools for section 5 - gitlab etc, new subsection or name the communication part process/organization
* Change to code review, don’t see anything about intervals but it is mentioned at some point?
* AppSync not mentioned anywhere outside of our diagram? - but services potentially may change so its okay